

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/727,140	12/02/2003	Juergen Heymann	34874-060 UTIL	5327	
64280 MINTZ LEVI	7590 11/09/201 IN, COHN, FERRIS, G	EXAM	EXAMINER		
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER			FRITZ, BRADFORD F		
BOSTON, MA	02111	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2442		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/09/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/727,140	HEYMANN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BRADFORD F. FRITZ	2442	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-12.14 and 16-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	WH - Ex aft - If t - Fa An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE COMMUNICATION. TO SHAPE THE ADDRESS OF THE STATE OF THE STA
2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3-5.7-12.14 and 16-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1.3-5.7-12.14 and 16-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheek(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. policiation from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Status	
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the microsed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s)	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2010.
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-12.14 and 16-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Sta application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	2a)[This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3-5.7-12.14 and 16-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO- Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Sta application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
4) Claim(s) 1.3-5.7-12.14 and 16-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to part of the second of the s		closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5	Dispos	tion of Claims
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s)1.3-5.7-12.14 and 16-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.81 (1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO- Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Sta application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	4)⊠	Claim(s) 1.3-5.7-12.14 and 16-22 is/are pending in the application.
6) Claim(s) 1.3-5.7-12.14 and 16-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO- Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Sta application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO- Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stale application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO- Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonic the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stale application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-12,14 and 16-22</u> is/are rejected.
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The precification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO- Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO- Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonic in Some colonic in the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stale application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO- Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Sta application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Applica	tion Papers
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO- Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO- Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO- Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National State application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Sta application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National State application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National State application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	riority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Sta application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Sta application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	a) All b) Some * c) None of:
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Sta application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
		3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	•	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1)	X	Notice
1)	N	Notice

Attachinent(a)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/727,140 Page 2

Art Unit: 2442

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments, filed 2/03/10, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 3-5, 7-12, 14, and 16-22 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kou et al (2002/0099936), Flurry et al. (2003/0061512), and Ivengar (5.961,601)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 3-5, 7-12, 14, and 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kou et al (2002/0099936), hereinafter referred to as Kou, in view of Flurry et al. (2003/0061512), hereinafter referred to as Flurry, further in view of lyengar (5.961,601).
- Regarding claim 1, Kou disclosed creating a first instance of a session of the web application upon receipt at the application server of a first start uniform resource locator (URL) (paragraphs 0045 and 0074),

Art Unit: 2442

the first start URL comprising an external session identifier (ESID) that identifies the session of the web application (paragraphs 0045 and 0074), the first instance being created without preexisting state information if a previous session return state corresponding to the external session identifier has not been previously stored in a session memory (paragraphs 0045 and 0050-52), of the application server (Fig. 2, user_session table, paragraphs 0034-0035);

providing the first instance to a user via a page in a browser on a client machine (paragraphs 0045 and 0050-52); receiving, at the server, a termination URL (the link to logout) terminated indicating that the user has navigated from the first instance of the session (paragraphs 0067-0069 and 0075), the termination URL including the ESID and being sent from the browser the user navigating away (paragraphs 0067-0069 and 0075).

However, Kou teaches that after a client logs out the session entry is deleted because the session and the cookie are only temporary. Therefore, Kou does not explicitly teach providing the first instance to a user via a portal page storing a session return state in the session of the application server after termination of the first instance of the session, the session return state comprising a record of a user's activity, in the application during the first instance prior to receipt of the termination URL at the server; the session return state being associated with the ESID which is also stored in the session memory; releasing, by the server, all resources associated with the first instance of the session; receiving, at the server, a request from the client for a new instance of the session of the web application, and determining if the request includes

Art Unit: 2442

the ESID; and if the request includes the ESID, reading the session return state from the session memory, and creating he new instance of the session by restoring the session to duplicate the first instance as of receipt of the termination URL at the application server.

Flurry teaches providing the first instance to a user via a portal page (paragraphs 0013 and 0074) storing a session return state in the session of the application server after termination of the first instance of the session (paragraphs 0013 and 0065-0068), the session return state comprising a record of a user's activity (paragraphs 0013 and 0049-0050),

in the application during the first instance prior to receipt of the termination URL at the server (paragraphs 0013 and 0049-0050); the session return state being associated with the ESID which is also stored in the session memory (paragraphs 0013 and 0049-0050);

releasing, by the server, all resources associated with the first instance of the session (paragraphs 0013, 0049-0050, and 0074); receiving, at the server, a request from the client for a new instance of the session of the web application (paragraphs 0076-79 and 0085), and determining if the request includes the ESID (paragraphs 0076-79 and 0085);

and if the request includes the ESID, reading the session return state from the session memory (paragraphs 0076-79 and 0085), and creating he new instance of the session by restoring the session to duplicate the first instance as of receipt of the termination URL at the application server (paragraphs 0076-79 and 0085).

Art Unit: 2442

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kou's system which uses temporary cookies to include features taught by Flurry, including an aggregator token, as a permanent cookie, because both are from the same field of endeavor and in order to allow the client to return and re-use saved session information even after the user has logged off or terminated the previous web session (paragraph 0013).

However, the combination above does not explicitly teach the ESID being originally generated by a client machine. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to generate the session ID at the client as taught by lyengar in the combination above because all are from the same field of endeavor and in order to reduce the load on the server and maintain session information in the event of a server failure (column 13, lines 38-45).

- Regarding claim 3, Flurry disclosed receiving the ESID from a portal used by the client (paragraphs 0013 and 0074).
- Regarding claim 4, Kou disclosed receiving the start URL comprising the ESID (paragraphs 0045, 0050-52, and 0074).
- Regarding claim 5, Kou disclosed receiving an new request including the ESID each time a new web application session is started at the client machine (paragraphs 0045, 0050-52, and 0074).

Application/Control Number: 10/727,140
Art Unit: 2442

 Regarding claim 7, Kou disclosed if the identifier does not correspond to the ESID of the terminated web application session, serving a second new instance of the web application session in a startup mode (paragraphs 0045, 0050-52, and 0074).

- Regarding claim 8, Kou disclosed minimizing the state related to the terminated web application (paragraphs 0067-0069 and 0075).
- Regarding claim 9, Kou disclosed storing the ESID in a table in the session memory (paragraphs 0045 and 0050-52).
- Regarding claims 10 and 16, Kou disclosed determining whether the request includes the ESID by mapping the ESID to one or more stored ESIDs in the table (paragraphs 0045 and 0050-52).
- Regarding claims 11 and 20, Flurry disclosed wherein the ESID is generated by a session manager of the portal (paragraphs 0013 and 0074).
- 13. Regarding claim 12, Kou disclosed receiving at an application server, a request from a client for a new web application session (paragraphs 0045 and 0074), the request comprising an external session identifier (ESID) that identifies the session of the web application (paragraphs 0045 and 0074); determining whether the ESID was previously stored in a session memory at the application server to identify (Fig. 2, user_session table, paragraphs 0034-0035).

However, Kou does not explicitly teach identifying a previously terminated web application session and to be associated with a session return state stored in the session memory, the session return state comprising a record of a user's activity in the previously terminated web application prior to navigation of the user away from the

Art Unit: 2442

previously terminated web application and sending of a termination URL including the ESID from the client to the application server; if the ESID is stored in the session memory, with the associated session return state of the previously terminated web application session, reading the session return state from the session memory and creating a new instance of previously terminated web application session by serving the new web application session according to the session return state and thereby duplicating the previously terminated web application session; and if the identifier does not correspond to the ESID of the terminated web application session, serving the new web application session in a startup mode.

Flurry teaches identifying a previously terminated web application session and to be associated with a session return state stored in the session memory (paragraphs 0076-79 and 0085), the session return state comprising a record of a user's activity in the previously terminated web application prior to navigation of the user away from the previously terminated web application and sending of a termination URL including the ESID from the client to the application server (paragraphs 0013 and 0049-0050);

if the ESID is stored in the session memory, with the associated session return state of the previously terminated web application session (paragraphs 0076-79 and 0085), reading the session return state from the session memory and creating a new instance of previously terminated web application session by serving the new web application session according to the session return state and thereby duplicating the previously terminated web application session (paragraphs 0076-79 and 0085); and if the identifier does not correspond to the ESID of the terminated web application

Art Unit: 2442

session, serving the new web application session in a startup mode (paragraphs 0076-79 and 0085).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kou's system which uses temporary cookies to include features taught by Flurry, including an aggregator token, as a permanent cookie, because both are from the same field of endeavor and in order to allow the client to return and re-use saved session information even after the user has logged off or terminated the previous web session (paragraph 0013).

However, the combination above does not explicitly teach the ESID being originally generated by a client machine. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to generate the session ID at the client as taught by Iyengar in the combination above because all are from the same field of endeavor and in order to reduce the load on the server and maintain session information in the event of a server failure (column 13, lines 38-45).

- Regarding claim 14, Kou disclosed receiving the ESID from the client with a termination uniform resource locator (URL) (paragraphs 0067-0069 and 0075).
- Regarding claim 17, Kou disclosed wherein the request includes a start URL (paragraphs 0045, 0050-52, and 0074).
- 16. Regarding claim 18, Kou disclosed a mapping module that maps a request for a new web application session comprising a new ESID to one or more stored ESIDs in the session memory (paragraphs 0045 and 0074), the server platform serving the new

Art Unit: 2442

web application session in the state associated with the new ESID if the new ESID is among the stored ESIDs (Fig. 2, *user session table*, paragraphs 0034-0035).

However, Kou does not explicitly teach a portal that generates, upon navigation by a user away from a current web application session on a client, an external session identifier (ESID) related to the current web application session, the portal further sending the ESID to a server as part of a termination URL; and a server platform hosting the application server and comprising a session memory that stores a session return state associated with the ESID.

Flurry teaches a portal that generates, upon navigation by a user away from a current web application session on a client (paragraphs 0076-79 and 0085), an external session identifier (ESID) related to the current web application session (paragraphs 0076-79 and 0085), the portal further sending the ESID to a server as part of a termination URL (paragraphs 0076-79 and 0085); and a server platform hosting the application server and comprising a session memory that stores a session return state associated with the ESID (paragraphs 0013 and 0049-0050).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kou's system which uses temporary cookies to include features taught by Flurry, including an aggregator token, as a permanent cookie, because both are from the same field of endeavor and in order to allow the client to return and re-use saved session information even after the user has logged off or terminated the previous web session (paragraph 0013).

Art Unit: 2442

However, the combination above does not explicitly teach the ESID being originally generated by a client machine. Iyengar teaches the ESID being originally generated by a client machine. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to generate the session ID at the client as taught by Iyengar in the combination above because all are from the same field of endeavor and in order to reduce the load on the server and maintain session information in the event of a server failure (column 13, lines 38-45).

- Regarding claim 19, Kou disclosed storing the state related to the terminated web application session (paragraphs 0067-0069 and 0075).
- 18. Regarding claim 21, Kou disclosed wherein the first start uniform resource locator (URL) is received from the web browser at the client machine and wherein the ESID is generated by the web browser at the client machine (paragraphs 0067-0069 and 0075).
- 19. Regarding claim 22, Kou disclosed the new instance being associated with the second ESID (paragraphs 0067-0069 and 0075) and being created by reading a second session return state from the session memory to create the restored instance of the second session by restoring an earlier instance of the second session that was stored after receipt of a second session termination URL from the client machine at the application server upon termination of the earlier instance of the second session (paragraphs 0034-0035 and Fig. 2).

However, Kou does not explicitly teach creating a restored instance of a second session of the web application upon receipt at the application server of a second start

Art Unit: 2442

uniform resource locator (URL) from the client machine, the second start URL comprising a second external session identifier (ESID) that identifies the second session of the web application, the second start URL being received before the termination URL; providing the restored instance of the second session to the user in the browser on the client machine such that the first instance of the session and restored instance of the second session are provided concurrently.

Flurry teaches creating a restored instance of a second session of the web application upon receipt at the application server of a second start uniform resource locator (URL) from the client machine (paragraphs 0076-79 and 0085), the second start URL comprising a second external session identifier (ESID) that identifies the second session of the web application, the second start URL being received before the termination URL (paragraphs 0076-79 and 0085); providing the restored instance of the second session to the user in the browser on the client machine such that the first instance of the session and restored instance of the second session are provided concurrently (paragraphs 0076-79 and 0085).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kou's system which uses temporary cookies to include features taught by Flurry, including an aggregator token, as a permanent cookie, because both are from the same field of endeavor and in order to allow the client to return and re-use saved session information even after the user has logged off or terminated the previous web session (paragraph 0013).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRADFORD F. FRITZ whose telephone number is (571)272-3860. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/B. F. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 2442 /KEVIN BATES/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2456